

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/655,980	09/05/2003	Stanten C. Spear	P-11669.00	8939
27581 MEDTRONIC	7590 10/09/2007 INC		EXAM	INER .
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			LAMPRECHT, JOEL	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3737	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/09/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/655,980	SPEAR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joel M. Lamprecht	3737				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLV IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state of the state of the state of the state of the mailing and the state of the mailing and the state of the state	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on O	5 September 2003.					
,—	•—					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
o)[craim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10) \boxtimes The drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/are: a) \boxtimes accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	Examiner. Note the attached	Tomos Action of John 1 10-102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/03.	6) Other:	_··				

Application/Control Number: 10/655,980

Art Unit: 3737

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 6, 21 and 37 are objected to because of the following informalities: "Deliver" in the second line of claim six, twenty-one, and thirty-seven should be delivery to complete "delivery device".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5, 7-12, 16-17, 20, 22-28, 31, 36, and 38-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Rosenman et al (US 6004269). Rosenman et al. disclose a catheter drug delivery system used for locating a target site for delivering therapy to a patient comprising advancing a delivery device with steerable portion and deflectable portion which tapers for delivery of drugs to a first site through a lumen (also dual lumen forms) in fluid communication with a thru lumen and delivering contrast media from the distal end of the delivery device within the first site (Fig 8-10, Col9 Line 38-Col 10 Line 24). Additionally Rosenman et al. disclose advancing a guidewire within a patient and advancing the delivery device (Col 3 Line 40-Col 4 Line 14) to the area along the first site via a guide catheter before advancing the delivery device to the area

Application/Control Number: 10/655,980 Page 3

Art Unit: 3737

along the first site through and outward from the guide catheter, and later advancing the quide catheter again over the delivery device (Col 4 Line 49 - Col 5 Line 7). Also Rosenman et al. disclose a single shaft lumen with multiple lumen portions offset from each other but still in fluid communication through the use of Luer fittings (Fig 8-9, Col 8 Line 15 - Col 9 Line 4). Finally Rosenman et al. disclose advancing a guide wire outward form the distal end through the thru lumen of a delivery device within the first site and advancing the delivery device over the guide wire, and the deflectable tip includes outer wall and inner wall forming a tip lumen in fluid communication with the thru lumen and has a distal opening at the top (Col 13 Line 25-56). The delivery device has an outer diameter of les than 7 French in embodiments and tapers along its length to less than 6 French. The deflectable portion of the delivery catheter shaft has a Pebax material, which begins at 75D and becomes more deflectable towards the distal end of the delivery unit, eventually hitting 35D (Col 9 Line 19 - Col 9 Line 62). The additional form of therapy suggested by Rosenman et al consists of extending a wire through the lumen of the catheter guide system to test for penetration of the myocardium (Col 4 Line 15-50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/655,980

Art Unit: 3737

Claims 1-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 2. Rosenman et al. in view of Niazi (US 6,638268 B2). Rosenman et al. disclose a catheter drug delivery system used for locating a target site for delivering therapy to a patient comprising advancing a delivery device with steerable portion and deflectable portion which tapers for delivery of drugs to a first site through a lumen (also dual lumen forms) in fluid communication with a thru lumen and delivering contrast media from the distal end of the delivery device within the first site (Fig 8-10, Col9 Line 38-Col 10 Line 24). Additionally Rosenman et al. disclose advancing a guidewire within a patient and advancing the delivery device (Col 3 Line 40-Col 4 Line 14) to the area along the first site via a guide catheter before advancing the delivery device to the area along the first site through and outward from the guide catheter, and later advancing the guide catheter again over the delivery device (Col 4 Line 49 - Col 5 Line 7). Also Rosenman et al. disclose a single shaft lumen with multiple lumen portions offset from each other but still in fluid communication through the use of Luer fittings (Fig 8-9, Col 8 Line 15 -Col 9 Line 4). Finally Rosenman et al. disclose advancing a guide wire outward form the distal end through the thru lumen of a delivery device within the first site and advancing the delivery device over the guide wire, and the deflectable tip includes outer wall and inner wall forming a tip lumen in fluid communication with the thru lumen and has a distal opening at the top (Col 13 Line 25-56). The delivery device has an outer diameter of les than 7 French in embodiments and tapers along its length to less than 6 French. The deflectable portion of the delivery catheter shaft has a Pebax material

Application/Control Number: 10/655,980 Page 5

Art Unit: 3737

which becomes more deflectable towards the distal end of the delivery unit, eventually hitting 35D (Col 9 Line 19 – Col 9 Line 62).

- 3. Rosenman et al. do not disclose the delivery of a pacing lead to the target over the guide wire itself. Rosenman et al. additionally do not disclose a gradual taper, rather they disclose a component taper over the length of the tip region. Attention is paid to the secondary reference by Niazi which discloses a gradual taper towards the distal end of the central lumen allowing for a end diameter of 5 French and contains a tip lumen suitable for delivery of contrast media as well as disclosure of a hydrophilic guidewire system (Claim 1, Col 2 Line 15-40, Col 5 Line 29-65, Col 4 Line 15-35). Niazi also discloses the retraction of the fluid delivery system (Col 4 Line 35-55, Col 5 Line 29-60) and specifically mentions the coronary sinus vein as the target site (Claim 24). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have incorporated the gradual taper and pacing lead delivery system of Niazi with the contrast media delivery system of Rosenman et al as Rosenman et al disclose in Col 4 Line 15 50 that electrical monitoring with a wire placed at the distal tip of the catheter delivery system is an important measure of penetration of the myocardium.
- 4. Rosenman et al. do not disclose using a PEBA materical with jet milled tungsten carbide or using a non-braided section, rather they use a braided stainless steel variation which starts and comprises the range of Applicant's Durometer ratings from 72D to 40D to 35D reading. The selection of jet milled tungsten carbide and non-braided stainless steel on the second portion is a design choice and would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/655,980

Art Unit: 3737

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joel M. Lamprecht whose telephone number is (571) 272-3250. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:30AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian L. Casler can be reached on (571) 272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JML 9/28/07

BRIAN L. CASLER SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY OFFICER BY